Low immunogenicity of vedolizumab determined by a simple drug-tolerant assay in patients with ulcerative colitis

Noriaki Yamashita, Takayuki Imai, Masahiro Kawahara, Osamu Inatomi, and Akira Andoh*

Department of Medicine, Shiga University of Medical Science, Seta-Tsukinowa, Otsu 520-2192, Japan

(Received 2 August, 2021; Accepted 21 August, 2021)

Vedolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin and is approved for treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases. In this study, we evaluated the immunogenicity of vedolizumab using a simple drug-tolerant assay developed in our laboratory. Serum vedolizumab trough levels and antivedolizumab antibody (AVA) levels were measured using new immunoassays in 37 patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) under vedolizumab maintenance therapy. The median vedolizumab trough level at week 30 was 16.0 µg/ml (interguartile range, 7.3-24.4). The vedolizumab trough level of the patients with clinical remission (partial Mayo score ≤1) was significantly higher than that of clinically active patients (16.7 µg/ml vs 6.8). The cut-off value of vedolizumab level predicting clinical remission at week 30 was 7.34 µg/ml. The median AVA level of patients under vedolizumab maintenance therapy was similar to that of healthy controls (n = 20) (0.032 µg/ml-c vs 0.022). One of 37 patients (2.7%) was judged to be AVA positive. There was no significant difference in serum AVA and vedolizumab trough levels between biologics-naïve (n = 19) and biologics-switched (prior anti-TNF α exposed) patients (n = 18). In conclusion, the simple drug-tolerant assay developed in our laboratory demonstrated low immunogenicity of vedolizumab. Prior use of anti-TNFa drugs did not affect the immunogenicity of vedolizumab.

Key Words: vedolizumab, anti-vedolizumab antibody, therapeutic drug monitoring

I nflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), which include Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), are characterized by chronic intestinal inflammation mediated by dysregulated innate and adaptive immune responses.⁽¹⁻³⁾ While complete cure of IBD is difficult, various types of medications are available to induce and maintain clinical remission.⁽⁴⁾ Among them, biologics have been approved for the treatment of moderate-to-severe UC and CD and these have markedly improved their management.⁽⁵⁾

Interaction between the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin expressed on the surface of memory T cells and the mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAdCAM1) on the gut endothelium plays a crucial role in the pathophysiology of IBD.⁽⁶⁾ Infiltration of memory T cells into intestinal mucosa is initiated by their adhesion to the endothelium, and this process is mediated by the interaction of $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin with MAdCAM1. Vedolizumab is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody directed against the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin that blocks the binding of memory T cell to the gut endothelium, thereby preventing their infiltration into the mucosa.^(6,7) Since MAdCAM1 is specifically expressed in the endothelium within the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and gutassociated lymphoid tissue,⁽⁸⁾ the anti-inflammatory action of vedolizumab is restricted to the GI tract.⁽⁶⁾ The efficacy and safety of vedolizumab in moderate-to-severe UC and CD were initially evaluated in three phase 3 clinical trials (GEMINI 1 for UC, GEMINI 2 and 3 for CD) and followed by multiple real-world cohort studies.⁽⁹⁻¹⁵⁾ These indicated that vedolizumab is effective as the first- or second-line induction and maintenance therapy in UC and CD, and that there are no vedolizumab-specific safety concerns.

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) is defined as the assessment of concentrations of drugs and anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) for optimizing biologic therapy. Based on the experiences with anti-tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) drugs, TDM has been recognized as a useful strategy for optimizing the treatment of IBD patients.⁽¹⁶⁾ TDM is helpful for objective analysis of potential reasons for therapeutic failure and for determining the next optimized treatment. While TDM has been extensively studied and applied when using anti-TNF α drugs,⁽¹⁶⁾ its role in the optimization of vedolizumab remains unclear.

Vedolizumab was developed by the fusing of the antigenrecognizing domains of the mouse anti-human $\alpha 4\beta 7$ monoclonal antibody Act-1 to a conventional human IgG1 scaffold domain.⁽¹⁷⁾ In addition, two mutations were introduced into the Fc portion of vedolizumab to eliminate Fc-mediated cytotoxicity.⁽¹⁷⁾ These molecular characteristics of vedolizumab have raised concerns that its immunogenicity could lead to the generation of anti-vedolizumab antibodies (AVA). However, there are few reports on the immunogenicity of vedolizumab.

Screening of ADAs is frequently performed using standard immunoassays which reveal a low drug tolerance. These assays are able to detect only free ADA and unable to detect the ADA forming immune complexes with the drug (drug-sensitive assays),⁽¹⁸⁾ leading to underestimation of the immunogenicity of the drug. In contrast, drug-tolerant assays can measure ADA that are bound to the drug.^(18,19) In this study, we aimed to estimate the optimal concentration of serum vedolizumab predicting clinical remission and evaluate the immunogenicity of vedolizumab using a drug-tolerant assay developed in our laboratory.

Materials and Methods

Patients. Thirty-seven patients with UC were enrolled from January 2020 to December 2020. These patients were treated with vedolizumab at the Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital. The demographic characteristics of the study patients are described in Table 1. Healthy volunteers (n = 20) were enrolled to determine the background levels of assays.

The patients received intravenous infusion of vedolizumab

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: andoh@belle.shiga-med.ac.jp

•
4/16
35 (27–46)
21/16
46 (21–80)
13
24
30
21
10
19
4
14

5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; 6-MP, 6-mercaptopurine; IFX, infliximab; ADA, adalimumab.

(300 mg/body) at day 1 and weeks 2 and 6 during induction therapy and were followed by intravenous vedolizumab every 8 weeks. A blood sample was collected before the infusion at week 30. At each visit, a partial Mayo score (pMayo score) (consisting of the Mayo score minus the endoscopy subscore;⁽²⁰⁾ range, 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating more active disease) was calculated. Clinical remission was defined as a pMayo score of 0 or 1.^(21,22)

Ethics. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the Shiga University of Medical Science (permission No. 2019-308). All patients gave their written informed consent prior to their inclusion in this study. The registration number of the University Hospital Medical Information Network Center (UMIN) was 000045425.

Labeling of recombinant $\alpha 4\beta7$ integrin and vedolizumab. Biotin-labeling of recombinant $\alpha 4\beta7$ integrin (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was performed using a commercially available biotin-labeling kit (Dojindo Molecular Technologies Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeling of vedolizumab was performed using a commercially available HRP-conjugation kit (Solulink, San Diego, CA).

Measurement of serum vedolizumab concentrations. Serum vedolizumab levels were determined by an immunoassay, constructed according to the method described previously.⁽²³⁾ We used an avidin ELISA plate® (blocking-less type; Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), which is ready to use with a special coating to minimize non-specific protein binding. This plate was coated with biotinylated-recombinant $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin $(100 \ \mu l \text{ of } 0.5 \ \mu g/ml)$ by incubation for 2 h. After extensive washing, a further blocking was performed with Block Ace® (DS Pharma Biomedical, Co., Ltd., Suita, Japan). After washing, samples (100 µl of 100-fold diluted serum) were incubated overnight at 4°C. Finally, the reacted vedolizumab was detected by HRP-labeled F(ab')₂ fragments of chicken anti-human IgG (×20,000 diluted; Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd., Waltham, MA). 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) was used for color development.

Drug-tolerant assay for anti-vedolizumab antibodies. An immunoassay for anti-vedolizumab antibodies (AVAs) that works in the presence of vedolizumab (drug-tolerant assay) was developed according to the methods described previously.^(23,24) Immune complexes of vedolizumab and AVA in samples were dissociated by treatment with 0.1 M glycine-HCl buffer (pH 2.7) and the IgG fraction was isolated using protein G beads. IgG was eluted and the concentration was adjusted to 20 μ g/ml IgG with a carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6). Each well of a 96-well ELISA plate was coated with diluted IgG containing AVAs (100 μ l) overnight. AVAs on the plate were detected by 3 h incubation with HRP-labeled vedolizumab (100 μ l of 2.0 μ g/ml). 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine was used for color development. The values were reported in μ g/ml-calibrated (μ g/ml-c) according to calibration standards using polyclonal goat anti-human IgG (MP Biomedicals, LLC, Solon, OH).

Statistical analyses. Continuous variables were expressed as the median and interquartile (IQR). The Chi-square or Mann-Whitney U test were used to evaluate the association between two independent groups. The Spearman's correlation analysis was used to assess the association between clinical markers and vedolizumab trough levels. The cut-off values of vedolizumab concentration associated with clinical remission were determined using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. All statistical testing was performed at the 0.05 significance level.

Results

Validation of a newly developed immunoassay for vedolizumab concentration. Since major part of vedolizumab is human IgG, the most critical step in measurement of serum vedolizumab levels is prevention of non-specific binding of serum IgG. To check for effective prevention of nonspecific serum IgG binding, we prepared vedolizumab standards $(0, 5, 10, 20 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$ using dilution by normal human serum. These standards were put on the current assay, and agreement between the prepared standards and the measurement results was confirmed (Fig. 1A). This means that the developed system can be used for measurement of serum vedolizumab levels. The background level obtained from healthy individuals was 0.44 μ g/ml (median, IQR 0.37–0.86, n = 20) (Fig. 1B), and the vedolizumab trough level at week 30 was 16.0 µg/ml (median, IQR 7.3–24.4, n = 37) (Fig. 1B).

The median vedolizumab trough level of the patients with a partial Mayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) was significantly higher than that of the patients with a pMayo score of ≥ 1 [median 16.7 µg/ml, IQR (12.6–26.6) vs 6.8 µg/ml (3.8–17.4)] (Fig. 2A). The cut-off value of vedolizumab concentration predicting a Mayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) at week 30 was determined using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (Fig. 2B). Vedolizumab trough levels over 7.34 µg/ml were significantly associated with a Mayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) at week 30 [area under the curve (AUC) 0.77, p = 0.016, sensitivity 0.67, specificity 0.89].

Evaluation of immunogenicity of vedolizumab using a drug tolerant assay. We produced a drug tolerant assay for serum AVAs according to the previously reported method for anti-infliximab antibodies in our laboratory.⁽²⁴⁾ In this assay, the immune complex of vedolizumab and AVAs was dissociated by acidic buffer treatment, and then AVA was detected by the peroxidase-labeled vedolizumab. The median AVA levels of healthy controls (n = 20) and vedolizumab-treated patients (n = 37) were 0.022 µg/ml-c (IQR 0.014–0.053) and 0.032 µg/ml-c (0.019–0.045), respectively (Fig. 3A). There was no statistical difference between the groups. The cutoff value for an AVA positive result was set at 0.25 µg/ml-c (mean + 3SD of healthy control values), and only one patient (2.7%) was positive for AVAs.

Vedolizumab trough levels and AVA levels were plotted according to whether the pMayo score was ≤ 1 (clinical remission) or not (Fig. 3B). There was no association between vedolizumab trough levels and AVA levels (y = 1.97x + 16.7, r = 0.12, p = 0.49, n = 37).



Fig. 1. Newly developed immunoassays for vedolizumab concentration. (A) To confirm effective prevention of non-specific serum IgG binding, we prepared vedolizumab standards (0, 1, 5, 10, 20 μ g/ml) diluted by normal human serum. The measured results by the developed assay coincided with the prepared concentrations, indicating accurate measurement. Each point represents the mean of measured values (*n* = 25). (B) The back-ground level determined by samples from healthy individuals was 0.44 μ g/ml (median), and the trough level of vedolizumab at week 30 was 16.0 μ g/ml (median).



Fig. 2. Serum vedolizumab trough levels in UC patients. (A) The vedolizumab trough level of the patients with a partial Mayo (pMayo) score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) was significantly higher than that of the patients with a pMayo score of >1 (p<0.01). The data are presented as median and IQR. (B) ROC analysis for setting optimal vedolizumab trough level predicting a pMayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) at week 30. Vedolizumab trough levels over 7.34 µg/ml were significantly associated with a pMayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) at week 30 (AUC 0.77, p = 0.016, sensitivity 0.67, specificity 0.89). pMayo, partial Mayo; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve.

Association between biochemical markers and vedolizumab trough levels. CRP and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) were significantly lower in UC patients with vedolizumab trough levels \geq 7.34 µg/ml than in patients with trough levels <7.34 µg/ml (Fig. 4A and B). Serum albumin levels were significantly higher in patients with vedolizumab trough levels \geq 7.34 µg/ml than in patients with trough levels <7.34 µg/ml than in patients with trough levels <7.34 µg/ml than in patients with trough levels <7.34 µg/ml (Fig. 4C).

There was a significant and inverse correlation of vedolizumab trough levels with CRP (Fig. 5A). The vedolizumab trough level tended to be inversely correlated with ESR (p = 0.058, Fig. 5B), but this did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.058). There was no correlation between vedolizumab trough levels and serum albumin levels (Fig. 5C).

Effects of prior anti-TNF α drugs. This study included biologics-naïve patients (n = 19) and patients who had experienced anti-TNF α drugs and had then switched to vedolizumab

(biologics-switched patients) (n = 18). There was no significant difference in serum AVA and vedolizumab trough levels between biologics-naïve and biologics-switched patients (Fig. 6A and B). There were no significant difference in pMayo score and CRP level between biologics-naïve and biologics-switched patients (Fig. 6C and D).

Discussion

In this study, we determined an optimal trough level of vedolizumab predicting clinical remission in UC patients and demonstrated the low immunogenicity of vedolizumab, using the simple immunoassays developed in our laboratory. The immunoassays used in this study are high throughput, relatively inexpensive and have no need for special analytical instruments such as high-performance liquid chromatography, and thereby might be applicable for routine clinical use.



Fig. 3. Assays for serum anti-vedolizumab antibody. (A) The cutoff value for a positive result of anti-vedolizumab antibodies (AVAs) was determined to be 0.25 μ g/ml-c (mean + 3SD of healthy controls, n = 20). One of the 37 UC patients (2.7%) was positive for AVAs. (B) Association of serum AVA levels with vedolizumab trough levels. There was no association between serum AVA and vedolizumab trough levels (y = 1.97x + 16.7, r = 0.12, p = 0.5, n = 37). Closed circle, pMayo score ≤ 1 ; open circle, pMayo score > 1.



Fig. 4. Association between vedolizumab trough levels and biochemical markers. (A, B) CRP and ESR levels were significantly lower in patients with vedolizumab trough levels of \geq 7.34 µg/ml than in patients with vedolizumab trough levels of <7.34 µg/ml. (C) Serum albumin levels were significantly higher in patients with vedolizumab trough levels of \geq 7.34 µg/ml than in patients with vedolizumab trough levels of <7.34 µg/ml. The data are presented as median and IQR.

The appearance of ADA leads to a subtherapeutic serum drug level, resulting in the insufficient efficacy of biologics. Recent studies have recommended to test for ADAs when the patients reveal no or poor response to biologics, particularly when anti-TNF α drugs are used.^(16,18) Under such situations, the importance of drug-tolerant assay for ADA testing has been recognized. In most assays, ADAs are detected by a labeled variant of the drug. However, ADAs usually form a drug-ADA immune complex in the serum and this interferes with the detection by the labeled drug. Early assay formats did not include the dissociating step of drug ADA complexes (drug-sensitive assay) and led to underestimated results due to detection of only free ADAs. The drugtolerant assay includes the dissociation step by acidic buffer treatment and allows the measurement of ADA bound to the drugs.(18,19) However, there are few reports on the immunogenicity of vedolizumab using drug-tolerant assays.⁽²⁵⁻²⁷⁾

We initially assumed that mouse-derived components of

vedolizumab may exert immunogenicity and easily induce AVA generation. However, the results in this study showed that only one of the 37 patients (2.7%) was positive for AVAs, indicating an extremely low immunogenicity of this drug. The low immunogenicity of vedolizumab may be supported by the results in our previous studies using the same formats of drug-tolerant assays for infliximab (27.6% positive for ADA) and adalimumab (35.0% positive).^(13,23) The low immunogenicity of mouse components of vedolizumab was also demonstrated by the fact that when developing an immunoassay for vedolizumab concentrations, anti-mouse IgG antibodies could not detect vedolizumab and we used anti-human IgG antibodies for detection.

The results in this study are consistent with the few prior reports that have evaluated AVAs using drug-tolerant assays, although the used assays and the sampling period in the disease course are different. Wyant *et al.*⁽²⁸⁾ evaluated the samples of GEMINI 1 and 2 studies using a drug-tolerant assay and reported



Fig. 5. Relationship of vedolizumab trough levels with biochemical markers. (A) Serum CRP was inversely and significantly correlated with vedolizumab trough levels. (B) ESR was tended to be inversely correlated with vedolizumab trough level, but this did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.058). (C) There was no correlation between vedolizumab trough levels and serum albumin levels.



Fig. 6. Influence of prior use of anti-TNF α drugs to AVA and vedolizumab trough levels. (A, B) There was no difference in serum AVA and vedolizumab trough levels between anti-TNF α -experienced (bio-switched) patients (n = 18) and biologics-naïve (bio-naïve) patients (n = 19). (C, D) The partial Mayo score and CRP levels were similar between the two groups. The data are presented as median and IQR.

that 6% patients (86/1,427) were positive for AVAs. In other studies of drug-tolerant assays, AVAs were positive in 1.7-3.0% of IBD patients under induction or maintenance therapy.^(25,29)

Bian *et al.*⁽²⁶⁾ demonstrated that 3 of 179 patients (1.7%) were positive for AVAs at the induction phase but that ADAs were transient and disappeared on serial measurement in all patients.

Thus, these observations indicate a low immunogenicity of vedolizumab. Based on the experiences with anti-TNF α drugs, immunomodulator use was considered to prevent the appearance of ADAs.⁽³⁰⁾ However, the low immunogenicity of vedolizumab suggests that there is no need for systemic immunosuppression with immunomodulators. Since immunomodulator use might be associated with tumorigenesis such as skin cancer and lymphoma,⁽³¹⁾ the low immunogenicity as well as gut selective immunosuppression are major advantage of vedolizumab. It should be confirmed whether immunomodulators are required in the long-term use of vedolizumab in the future.

Due to the low immunogenicity of mouse components of vedolizumab, the immunoassay for vedolizumab concentrations was constructed according to the methods for human IgG biologics such as adalimumab and ustekinumab.(23,32) The new immunoassay reported that the median trough level of vedolizumab at week 30 was 16.0 µg/ml. The median vedolizumab trough level of the patients with a partial Mayo score of ≤ 1 (clinical remission) was significantly higher than those of the patients with a pMayo score of >1 (median 16.7 μ g/ml vs 6.8). These are consistent with the findings in the meta-analysis of vedolizumab-treated UC patients where vedolizumab trough concentration during maintenance therapy was significantly higher in patients with clinical remission (median 14.3 µg/ml) than in active patients (10.5 µg/ml).⁽³³⁾ They proposed a therapeutic target range of 12 to 20 µg/ml of vedolizumab for achieving clinical remission.⁽³³⁾ In this study, the cut-off value of vedolizumab level predicting Mayo score of ≤ 1 was calculated to be 7.34 µg/ml, and this was relatively lower than the value reported by Ungaro *et al.*⁽²⁵⁾ (10.1 µg/ml). This might be associated with different backgrounds and the sample size of enrolled patients. We observed significant inverse correlations between vedolizumab trough levels and laboratory markers such as CRP and ESR and there was a significantly positive correlation with serum albumin, indicating that higher vedolizumab trough levels are associated with better biochemical outcome as well as clinical outcome.

Some studies have shown that prior use of anti-TNF α drugs is associated with lower therapeutic effects or treatment failure with vedolizumab,^(11,14,34) whereas others have reported that the response to vedolizumab is independent of previous anti-TNF α failure.^(35–37) Although the development of ADAs is a main factor contributing to the poor response of biologics,^(23,24,38) there are a few studies on how prior use of anti-TNF α drugs affect AVA generation and vedolizumab trough levels. Using the new immunoassays, we investigated the effects of prior use of anti-TNF α drugs on AVA generation and vedolizumab trough levels at week 30. As shown in Fig. 6, the AVA levels and vedolizumab trough levels at week 30 were similar between the bio-naïve and bio-switched (prior use of anti-TNF α drugs) groups. A similar observation has been recently reported by Costable *et al.*⁽³⁹⁾ They analyzed anti-TNF α ADA positive (n = 41) and negative (n = 22)

References

- Ananthakrishnan AN, Bernstein CN, Iliopoulos D, et al. Environmental triggers in IBD: a review of progress and evidence. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 15: 39–49.
- 2 Ungaro R, Mehandru S, Allen PB, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Colombel JF. Ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* 2017; 389: 1756–1770.
- 3 Torres J, Mehandru S, Colombel JF, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Crohn's disease. Lancet 2017; 389: 1741–1755.
- 4 Nakase H, Uchino M, Shinzaki S, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for inflammatory bowel disease 2020. J Gastroenterol 2021; 56: 489–526.
- 5 Dulai PS, Battat R, Barsky M, et al. Incorporating fecal calprotectin into clinical practice for patients with moderate-to-severely active ulcerative

IBD patients using a drug-tolerant assay and found no significant difference in the rates of anti-vedolizumab ADA development between the two groups (2.7% vs 0.9%).⁽³⁹⁾ Despite limited data, these results suggest that prior use of anti-TNF α drugs does not lead to the easy development of AVAs and does not affect vedolizumab trough levels. This can be explained by the low immunogenicity of vedolizumab. Reflecting these pharmaco-kinetic results, there were no differences in clinical and biochemical outcomes (pMayo score and CRP) between the two groups. However, these findings should be prospectively confirmed in a much larger scale study in the future.

The strengths of the current study include the development of new simple immunoassays for vedolizumab and AVAs, which can be easily applied to routine clinical use. However, there are several limitations. First, our study is retrospective in design, which may lead to an increased risk of selection bias. Second, backgrounds of prior anti-TNF α treatment such as types of drug and exposure duration were not consistent in anti-TNF α -treated patients. Finally, our analysis was performed in a single center and limited by the sample size, and subsequent studies with larger cohorts are necessary to confirm our findings.

In conclusion, the immunogenicity of vedolizumab is extremely low as compared to infliximab and adalimumab, using the drug-tolerant immunoassays developed by the same format in our laboratories. The low immunogenicity of vedolizumab may be advantageous for IBD patients as there is no need for concomitant thiopurine use to prevent the generation of ADAs. Low generation of ADAs may lead to maintaining remission over a long period and little interference with the therapeutic effects of next biologics. The TDM approach has become standard for anti-TNF α drugs, but the need for such an approach remains unclear in vedolizumab. To improve our ability to optimize vedolizumab use, more pharmacokinetic data on vedolizumab should be accumulated in a large-scale study.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under grant number JP20gm1010008h9904 (AA), and in part by a Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan under grant number 19K08811(MK) and 18K08002(AA), and in part by Health and Labor Sciences Research Grants for Research on Intractable Diseases from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan under grant number 20FC1037 (AA).

Conflict of Interest

AA received lecture fee from Janssen, Takeda, AbbVie, and Tanabe-Mitsubishi. All other authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in this study.

colitis treated with biologics or small-molecule inhibitors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020; **115**: 885–894.

- 6 Wyant T, Fedyk E, Abhyankar B. An overview of the mechanism of action of the monoclonal antibody vedolizumab. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016; 10: 1437– 1444.
- 7 Battat R, Dulai PS, Jairath V, Vande Casteele N. A product review of vedolizumab in inflammatory bowel disease. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2019; 15: 2482–2490.
- 8 Nakache M, Berg EL, Streeter PR, Butcher EC. The mucosal vascular addressin is a tissue-specific endothelial cell adhesion molecule for circulating lymphocytes. *Nature* 1989; **337**: 179–181.
- 9 Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and

maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 711-721.

- 10 Sands BE, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, et al. Effects of vedolizumab induction therapy for patients with Crohn's disease in whom tumor necrosis factor antagonist treatment failed. Gastroenterology 2014; 147: 618-627.e3.
- 11 Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Sands BE, et al. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 699-710.
- Engel T, Ungar B, Yung DE, Ben-Horin S, Eliakim R, Kopylov U. 12 Vedolizumab in IBD-lessons from real-world experience; a systematic review and pooled analysis. J Crohns Colitis 2018; 12: 245-257.
- 13 Amiot A, Grimaud JC, Peyrin-Biroulet L, et al. Effectiveness and safety of vedolizumab induction therapy for patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 14: 1593-1601.e2.
- 14 Narula N, Peerani F, Meserve J, et al. Vedolizumab for ulcerative colitis: treatment outcomes from the VICTORY Consortium. Am J Gastroenterol 2018: 113: 1345.
- 15 Baumgart DC. Bokemeyer B, Drabik А, Stallmach А, Schreiber S; Vedolizumab Germany Consortium. Vedolizumab induction therapy for inflammatory bowel disease in clinical practice-a nationwide consecutive German cohort study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016; 43: 1090-1102
- 16 Papamichael K, Cheifetz AS, Melmed GY, et al. Appropriate therapeutic drug monitoring of biologic agents for patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 17: 1655-1668.e3.
- Rosario M, Dirks NL, Milch C, et al. A review of the clinical pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and immunogenicity of vedolizumab. Clin Pharmacokinet 2017; 56: 1287-1301.
- 18 Atiqi S, Hooijberg F, Loeff FC, Rispens T, Wolbink GJ. Immunogenicity of TNF-inhibitors. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 312.
- Kharlamova N, Hermanrud C, Dunn N, et al. Drug tolerant anti-drug anti-19 body assay for infliximab treatment in clinical practice identifies positive cases earlier. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 1365.
- 20 Lewis JD, Chuai S, Nessel L, Lichtenstein GR, Aberra FN, Ellenberg JH. Use of the noninvasive components of the Mayo score to assess clinical response in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2008; 14: 1660-1666.
- Honap S, Chee D, Chapman TP, et al. Real-world effectiveness of tofacitinib 21 for moderate to severe ulcerative colitis: a multicentre UK experience. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: 1385-1393.
- Ungar B, Malickova K, Hanžel J, et al. Dose-optimization for loss-of-22 response to vedolizumab - pharmacokinetics and immune mechanisms. JCrohns Colitis 2021; jjab067.
- 23 Imaeda H, Takahashi K, Fujimoto T, et al. Clinical utility of newly developed immunoassays for serum concentrations of adalimumab and anti-adalimumab antibodies in patients with Crohn's disease. J Gastroenterol 2014; 49: 100-109
- 24 Imaeda H, Andoh A, Fujiyama Y. Development of a new immunoassay for the accurate determination of anti-infliximab antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease. J Gastroenterol 2012; 47: 136-143.
- 25 Ungaro RC, Yarur A, Jossen J, et al. Higher trough vedolizumab concentrations during maintenance therapy are associated with corticosteroid-free remission in inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohns Colitis 2019; 13: 963-969.
- Bian S, Dreesen E, Tang HT, et al. Antibodies toward vedolizumab appear 26 from the first infusion onward and disappear over time. Inflamm Bowel Dis

2017: 23: 2202-2208.

- 27 Wyant T, Yang L, Rosario M. Comparison of the ELISA and ECL assay for vedolizumab anti-drug antibodies: assessing the impact on pharmacokinetics and safety outcomes of the phase 3 GEMINI trials. AAPS J 2020; 23: 3.
- 28 Wyant T, Yang L, Lirio RA, Rosario M. Vedolizumab immunogenicity with long-term or interrupted treatment of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Pharmacol 2021: 61: 1174-1181.
- Ungar B, Kopylov U, Yavzori M, et al. Association of vedolizumab level, anti-drug antibodies, and a487 occupancy with response in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 16: 697-705.e7
- 30 Panaccione R, Ghosh S, Middleton S, et al. Combination therapy with infliximab and azathioprine is superior to monotherapy with either agent in ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2014; 146: 392-400.e3.
- Annese V, Beaugerie L, Egan L, et al. European evidence-based consensus: 31 inflammatory bowel disease and malignancies. J Crohns Colitis 2015; 9: 945-965
- 32 Morita Y, Imai T, Bamba S, et al. Clinical relevance of innovative immunoassays for serum ustekinumab and anti-ustekinumab antibody levels in Crohn's disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 35: 1163-1170.
- Singh S, Dulai PS, Vande Casteele N, et al. Systematic review with meta-33 analysis: association between vedolizumab trough concentration and clinical outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019: 50: 848-857.
- Verstockt B, Mertens E, Dreesen E, et al. Influence of drug exposure on 34 vedolizumab-induced endoscopic remission in anti-tumour necrosis factor [TNF] naïve and anti-TNF exposed IBD patients. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: 332-341.
- 35 Stallmach A, Langbein C, Atreya R, et al. Vedolizumab provides clinical benefit over 1 year in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease - a prospective multicenter observational study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016; **44**· 1199–1212
- Kopylov U, Ron Y, Avni-Biron I, et al. Efficacy and safety of vedolizumab 36 for induction of remission in inflammatory bowel disease-the Israeli realworld experience. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017; 23: 404-408.
- 37 Chaparro M, Garre A, Ricart E, et al. Short and long-term effectiveness and safety of vedolizumab in inflammatory bowel disease: results from the ENEIDA registry. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018; 48: 839-851.
- Frederiksen MT, Ainsworth MA, Brynskov J, Thomsen OO, Bendtzen K, 38 Steenholdt C. Antibodies against infliximab are associated with de novo development of antibodies to adalimumab and therapeutic failure in infliximab-to-adalimumab switchers with IBD. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014; 20: 1714-1721.
- Costable NJ, Borman ZA, Ji J, Dubinsky MC, Ungaro RC. Prior immuno-39 genicity to anti-TNF biologics is not associated with increased anti-drug antibodies to vedolizumab or ustekinumab. Dig Dis Sci 2021; 10.1007/ s10620-021-07046-7.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives BY NC ND License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).